



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,119	08/22/2001	Les E. Atlas	UNIV0119	1042	
25268 75	90 09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M ANDERSON 600 108TH AVE, NE			WARE, CICELY Q		
SUITE 507	L, ILL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVUE, V	WA 98004	2634			

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			,119	ATLAS ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit				
<u>-</u>		Cicely V		2634				
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ication appears on t	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum st- ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months a int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. TO (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status								
1)⊠ Resr	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 August 20	21					
_		2b)⊠ This action is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	f Claims							
4)⊠ Clair 4a) C 5)⊡ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)⊡ Clair	n(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/an(s) is/an(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o						
Application Pa	apers							
	pecification is objected to by the Irawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 20</u>		ented or h) abjected	to but he Everines				
	cant may not request that any object							
	acement drawing sheet(s) including			• •				
11) ☐ The o	eath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Re	ferences Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information Paper No(s)	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I /Mail Date <u>2</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Pg. 9, line 5, applicant uses "VLCs". Examiner suggests applicant spell out all first instances of all acronyms for clarification purposes.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 16, 22, 29 all recite "perceptually" and "subsequently". "Perceptually" and "subsequently" are vague and definite because they do not specify a definite limitation for the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al. (US Patent 5,303,058).
- (1) With regard to claim 1, Fukuda et al. discloses a method for encoding a signal for storage or transmission, comprising the steps of: (a) implementing a two-dimensional transform of the signal, producing a transform matrix having modulation frequency as one dimension (col. 1, lines 38-45, col. 2, lines 3-14); (b) reducing a dynamic range of the signal (col. 2, lines 25-28; (c) quantizing and selecting coefficients included in the transform matrix (col. 1, lines 46-47); and (d) producing data packets in which the coefficients that have been selected are encoded based upon a desired order of the coefficients, with coefficients that are more perceptually relevant being used first to fill each data packet and coefficients that are less perceptually relevant being handled in one of the following ways (col. 2, lines 3-14): (i) discarded once an available space in each data packet that is to be stored or transmitted has been filled with the coefficients that are more perceptually relevant; and (ii) disposed last within each data packet, so that the coefficients that are less perceptually relevant can subsequently be truncated from the data packet (col. 2, lines 54-64, col. 5, lines 29-40, col. 6, lines 10-18).

Application/Control Number: 09/938,119 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

(2) With regard to claim 13, claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Fukuda et al. further discloses in (Fig. 5) wherein the step of producing the data packets includes the step of ordering the data corresponding to the signal with respect to their perceptual relevance so that data having lower modulation frequencies and lower base-transform frequencies are inserted into a data packet before data having higher modulation frequencies and higher base-transform frequencies (col. 1, lines 57-67 – col. 2, lines 1-2).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made record of and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Dent US Patent 5,831,977 discloses a subtractive CDMA system with simultaneous subtraction in code space and direction-of-arrival space.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-3047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw August 29, 2005

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800